

, because

The court will evaluate this claim in light of the “minimum contacts trilogy” test to ensure fairness to Van: in other words, would it be fair to Van to make him defend against claims in California or would haling him into California court violate Due Process? Van is domiciled in Arizona, has no property or other relations (other than his *irrelevant* contact with Distill) with California or Californians, and he will certainly claim that he did not reasonably anticipate having to defend himself in a California court *because* _____. Would it be an unreasonable burden to expect Van to appear in California? Van will argue that is it *because* _____. Pam will argue that it’s not that great of a burden at all and justice would be served if Van answers for his infractions *because* _____.